C.f. 1001

SINGLE BENCH

P.R. No. 5850/06
Presented by Shri V.K. Tekam
dated 26-10-06
12:40 Pm

5

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT BILASPUR

W.P. NO. 5968 /2006

PETITIONER : Prof. (Dr.) Probhakar Pandey, S/o Shri K.P. Pandey Aged about 65 years, Retired Principal, D.P. Vipra College Bilaspur (C.G.), R/o 24, Vikash Nagar, 27-Kholi, Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
26/10/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Ministry of Higher Education, Through Secretariat, Secretary at Raipur (C.G.)
2. Commissioner, Higher Education, Govt. Science College Campus, Raipur (C.G).
3. Snatak Mahavidyalaya Shikshan Samiti, Through Chairman Shri R.N. Shukla, R/o 26-A, Vidhya Nagar, Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Governing Body, D.P. Vipra College, Bilaspur Through Secretary/Principal, D.P. Vipra College, High Court Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. D.P. Vipra College, Through Principal, High Court Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

The petitioner, named above, begs to submit as under:-

S6

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 5968 of 2006

Petitioner : Prof. (Dr.) Prabhakar Pandey

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P. (s) No. 5969 of 2006

Petitioner : Prof. (Dr.) D. Prakasham

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

And

W. P. (s) No. 5970 of 2006

Petitioner : Prof. (Dr.) Pratap Kumar Mishra

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. B.P. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on 29th day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. No. 2318/2002 (Professor Dr. S.C. Shrivastava Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 18.1.2006 (Annexure P/8). They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.
2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 18.1.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. No. W. P. No. 2318/2002. No order as to costs.
3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu